

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/945,063	08/31/2001	Apostolos Voutsas	8371-129	3014	
7	590 02/28/2003				
Stephen S. Ford MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street			EXAMINER		
			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
Portland, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAII ED: 02/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/945,063	VOUTSAS ET AL.	9/			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	George Fourson	2823				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence addr	ess			
Period fo	or Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims						
-	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<i>'</i>	· · ·						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
•	· · · ——						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		miner.				
. =/	Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list			annlication)			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			applioution).			
	 a)						
Attachmer	•	" [] a	(DTO 442) Daman No./-	,			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/945,063

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of claims 1-16 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claims 5,6,12,13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, the use of "predetermined" reads on a nebulous mental step conducted prior to the manipulative steps of the claimed invention, hence rendering the present process claims unclear in meaning and scope. If applicant wishes to patent detailed controls over the recited process, they must be positively recited. In claim 6, the scope of "an oxygen reactive gas" is unclear and specifically how the term differs from "oxygen". In claim 12, the basis of the percentage must be recited. In claim 13, "resistivity" has been misspelled. In claim 16, the scope of "regular-DC" is unclear.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyasaka in view of Bhat.

Art Unit: 2823

Miyasaka discloses formation of oxide underlevel protection layer 102 by sputtering and formation of amorphous silicon layer by sputtering on the layer 102 ([0072]-[0073]). The reference discloses, in the context of PECVD formation of the films, forming the two films without breaking vacuum [0241].

Bhat discloses sputtering chambers linked to perform sequential sputtering processes without breaking vacuum (col.5, line 54).

The examiner takes official notice that use of reactive sputtering to form silicon dioxide using a silicon target having the recited resistivity, sputtering an oxide target to form silicon dioxide, sputtering a silicon target to form amorphous silicon and the specific gaseous environments recited were known in formation of silicon oxide and silicon films by sputtering prior to applicant's invention.

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyasaka and Bhat to enable formation of the underlayer and the silicon films without breaking vacuum and to combine the known processes with the process of the combination to enable forming the silicon oxide and silicon films of Miyasaka.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722 (or extensions 7724, 3431 or 3432) for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for after final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,063 Art Unit: 2823

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson February 24, 2003